Sexual Intercourse With Child Between 14 and 16 Years

Offences committed on or after 13.6.2003 s.66C(3) (NSW) <u>Crimes Act</u>

s 66C(3) Sexual intercourse child of or above the age of 14 years and under the age of 16 years

Maximum Penalty: 10 years
Commenced: 13.6.2003

For offences under s.66C committed prior to 13.6.2003 see separate tables

Note: the effect of statutory provisions relating to the application of sentencing patterns and practices:

s.25AA(1) (NSW) <u>Crimes (Sentencing Procedure) Act</u> applied to sentences imposed on or after 31.8.2018. It required a court to sentence an offender for a child sexual offence in accordance with the sentencing patterns and practices at the time of sentencing, not at the time of the offence.

This provision was replaced by s.21B(1) (NSW) Crimes (Sentencing Procedure) Act on 18.10.2022 which extends the requirement to all offences.

	CASE (age if known)	OFFENCE	PLEA	SENTENCE	APPEAL	PRIORS	FACTS
1.	Boulad (32) [2005] NSWCCA 289	19 x s.66C(3) Sched: 4 unrelated offences	PG (25%)	6y NPP 3y	AD	nil	Consensual intercourse with 15y complainant – complainant ward of state, intellectually disabled and suffering mental illness – digital and penile penetration and cunnilingus Troubled background including sexual abuse
2.	McCarthy (35) [2008] NSWCCA 320	7 x s.66C(3)	VG	4y NPP 3y	Conviction AD		Consensual intercourse with 14y complainant – digital and penile penetration - cunnilingus
3.	Kenny (33) [2010] NSWCCA 6	2 x s.66C(3) Sched: 2 x s.66C(3) s.61M(1)	PG	2y NPP 1y 3m 4y GBB	AD	nil	Met 15y complainant through chat room designed for users aged 15-18y– met at hotel and had penile / vaginal and penile / anal intercourse by consent – unreasonable belief complainant aged 16y

	CASE (age if known)	OFFENCE	PLEA	SENTENCE	APPEAL	PRIORS	FACTS
	Mokhaiber (32) [2011] NSWCCA 10	s.66C(3) 5 x s.66C(3)	PG (25%)	3y 3m NPP 2y 2m 3y NPP 2y	AA to change degree of accumulation only	nil	Picked up 15y school girl walking home from school – after several meetings engaged in sexual intercourse on multiple occasions over several months – fellatio, penile/vaginal intercourse – anal intercourse – digital intercourse On appeal fresh evidence of terminal illness of young daughter
		s.66C(3)		3y 6m NPP 2y 4m			
4.		s.66C(3)		4y NPP 2y			
		s.61M(1)		FT 1m			
		s.61M(1)		9m NPP 6m			
		Sched: 11 x s.66C(3)		Total: 10y NPP 6y	Total: 5y 6m NPP 3y 6m		
5.	Makasa [2011] NSWCCA 212	3 x s.66C(3)	VG	2y NPP 1y	Crown AD		Sexual intercourse with female complainant aged 15y – offences committed on same day within a few hours of each other – admissions to police without which convictions may not have been obtained. Some allowance for protective custody Related judgment: [2010] NSWCCA 228 – conviction appeal only
6.	(29) [2013] NSWCCA 146	7 x s 66C(3)	PG (20%)	<u>Total</u> : 5y NPP 3y	AD	various including violence	Complainant 15y sister of partner – entered complainants bedroom – penile-vaginal intercourse, digital penetration and cunnilingus - on each occasion complainant approached by her sister and asked to do a favour – complainant aware this meant have sex with offender - evidence of possible wider pattern of abuse. Difficult youth – left home and lived on streets in early teens – alcohol and drug abuse.
7.	O'Brien (25) [2013] NSWCCA 197	4 x s.66C(3) Sched: Sexual assault	PG (10%)	<u>Total</u> : 3y 7m NPP 2y 2m	AD	Nil	Complainant 14 female - family friend known to complainant since she was 9y – penile vaginal intercourse, cunnilingus and digital intercourse. Good upbringing – employed – hard-working.

	CASE (age if known)	OFFENCE	PLEA	SENTENCE	APPEAL	PRIORS	FACTS
8.	Taane (25) [2014] NSWCCA 330	2 x s.66C(3) Sched: 2 x s.66C(3)	PG (20%)	Total: 3y 4m NPP 2y 3m (Plus 4m PSC)	Crown AD	Nil significant	Consensual sexual relationship over several months with 13-14y distant cousin – charged with s.66C(3) offences and given suspended sentence – relationship continued during court proceedings for earlier offences and charged with new s.66C(3) offences after pregnancy discovered Concurrent sentence imposed for breach of suspended sentence
9.	PB (50-51) [2016] NSWCCA 258	s.66C(3) 3 x s.66C(3) s.61M(2) Sched: 14 x sexual offences s.61	PG (20%)	Indicative 4y 6m 4y 3y Aggregate: 14y NPP 8y	AD	Nil significant	Stepfather sexually abused two step-daughters aged 10-11y and 15-16y over four months – indecent touching and kissing – penile penetration and cunnilingus – threats made and one complainant slapped across face – representative counts – serious breach of trust – aware one complainant previously sexually assaulted by father
10.	Wright (42) [2017] NSWCCA 102	s.66C(3) 3 x s.66C(3) s.66EB(2) Sched: 2 x s.61M(2) s.91H(2)	PG (15%)	Indicative 2y 9m 2y 6m 2y Aggregate: 3y 3m NPP 1y 10m	Crown AD (exercise of discretion)	Lengthy record	Male friend of 14y complainant's father had 'consensual' intercourse over several months – exchanged numerous texts indicating sexual relationship – mid range Poor health – difficult custodial conditions Crown appeal dismissed on basis Crown conduct at sentence hearing reinforced sentencing judge's erroneous treatment of statistics
11.	Hordern (31) [2019] NSWCCA 210	7 x s.66C(3) s.61M(2)	VG	Indicative: 3y – 4y 6m 2y NPP 18m Aggregate: 11y NPP 7y	AD	nil prior offences – subsequent offence of larceny	2014 – offences committed against niece aged 14-15y – five counts of penile penetration – 2 counts of digital penetration – attempt to push complainant's head on to offender's penis – offences took place when complainant visiting grandparents at home where offender also lived – offences between above mid-range to below mid-range seriousness – breach of trust Suffering serious medical condition expected to reduce life span

	CASE (age if known)	OFFENCE	PLEA	SENTENCE	APPEAL	PRIORS	FACTS
	Blackett (23) [2021] NSWCCA 210	s.61M(2)	PG (25%)	Indicative 2y 5m NPP 1y 7m	AA Indicative No custodial penalty	Nil	2102 – ongoing relationship with 14y complainant – fellatio, penile-vaginal, anal intercourse. Treatment for autism spectrum disorder – family support. CCA: sentences for s.61M and s.91H offences manifestly excessive.
		s.66C(3)		2y 7m	18m		
		s.66C(3)		2y 7m	22.5m		
12.		s.66C(3)		3у	2y 3m		
		s.66C(3)		3y 3m	3y 4.5m		
		s.91H		3y 3m	18m		
		s.166 Certificate Breach AVO		2m	2m		
		Sched: 7 offences		Aggregate 6y NPP 3y 10m	Aggregate 5y NPP 3y 3m		
13.	AB (25) [2022] NSWCCA 3	5 x s.66C(3) 3 x s.91G(2)(a) s.61O(2A) Sched: 3 offences	PG	s.10 dismissal of charges 2y conditional release order	Crown AD	Nil	Met 15y old male complainant on two occasions - engaged in numerous sexual acts, penile/anal intercourse - aware acting illegally - messages exchanged included photographs of complainant naked and performing sexual acts. Unchallenged medical evidence indicated causal link between childhood abuse and offending - sexually abused from age 5 – subjected to sadistic, violent, psychological abuse by mother and others - powerful subjective case.
14.	Brown (54) [2023] NSWCCA 330	s.66C(3) s.66C(3)	PG (25%)	Indicative 3y 6m 3y 9m Aggregate 4y 9m NPP 3y	AA Indicative 2y 6m 2y 9m Aggregate 3y 3m NPP 2y	Nil	Strong rehabilitation – university student – employed. Arranged to meet 15y old male complainant in a public park via internet chat site - met in a public toilet - engaged in sexual activity over a period of one hour – complainant performed fellatio on accused; accused performed fellatio on complainant – acts of short duration. Prospects of rehabilitation "moderate". Strong subjective case – employed – prior good character. CCA: Sentence manifestly excessive - aggregate term high compared to similar cases - indicative sentences too high for offending within mid-range and strong subjective case with no aggravating features.

	CASE (age if known)	OFFENCE	PLEA	SENTENCE	APPEAL	PRIORS	FACTS
15.	Dorsett (21-23) [2024] NSWCCA 192	6 x s.66C(3) s.66C(1) s.66DB(a) Sched: 1 offence	PG (25%)	Indicative s.66C(3) x 1 - 2y x 5 each - 2y 6m 6y NPP 3y 9m Aggregate 10y NPP 5y	AA Indicative 1y 6m x 3 each - 2y 2y 5m 3y 1m 6y NPP 4y 9m Aggregate 8y NPP 4y 9m		[SNPP 16y for s.66C(1) offence] 6 x s.66C(3) offences against three complainants aged 14-15y over 18-month period – offender and complainants known to each other – attended social events together - fellatio, penilevaginal intercourse – some offences whilst victims intoxicated – had been warned his conduct was illegal. Mild intellectual disability led to socialising with younger peers - element of planned grooming, but individual offences relatively opportunistic. Difficult childhood - prospect of rehabilitation affected by Paedophilic Disorder – positive efforts at rehabilitation in custody - special circumstances. CCA: Failure to assess criminality of each offence individually.

Last Updated: October 2024